

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,893	01/28/2005	Pauli Koutonen	FORSAL-99	7248	
36528 STIENNON &	7590 · 01/14/2008 STIFNNON	EXAMINER			
612 W. MAIN ST., SUITE 201			KIM, SANG K		
P.O. BOX 1667 MADISON, WI			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
MADISON, WI	133701-1007	•	3654		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/517,893	KOUTONEN ET A	L.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		SANG KIM	3654			
The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 this communication. ximum statutory period w If for reply will, by statute, months after the mailing	TE OF THIS COMMUNICA 6(a). In no event, however, may a repli ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this co IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
 Responsive to communication This action is FINAL. Since this application is in concluded in accordance with the 	2b)⊠ This ndition for allowar	action is non-final. ice except for formal matter		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims			,			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-23</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-19</u> is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-23</u> is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdrav I. to.					
Application Papers				•		
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that a Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is object.	is/are: a) acce ny objection to the accluding the correct	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance on is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CF			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			mmary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Mail Date ormal Patent Application			

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/30/07 has been entered.

Claim Objections

Claims 8-15 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 8:

Line 12, "board wed" should be -board web--;

Line 14, "a second wrap function" should be --a second wrap angle function--.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Breacker et al., U.S. Patent No. 3430880.

Application/Control Number:

10/517,893 Art Unit: 3654

Regarding claim 20, Breacker '880 discloses a paper wound into a web roll 10 through a winding nip (no reference number assigned) between the web roll 10 and a winding drum (11, 12), the web defining a wrap angle (no reference number assigned, by adjusting the roller 19, which causes the angle to change) as it passes through the nip, the wrap angle being the amount the web wraps the winding drum before entering the nip when the wrap angle is positive or negative (note, the wrap angle is relative to depending on which point of origin is measured from) and the structure of the web roll 10 being formed by adjusting the wrap angle (by adjusting the roller 19) of the web as it passes through the nip during the course of winding the web roll to obtain a desired roll hardness distribution (i.e., a predetermined hardness is already present in every wound web roll).

Regarding claims 22-23, as stated above Breacker '880 discloses changing the wrap angle, which inherently changes the roll hardness distribution of the roll by making the wrap angle smaller or bigger.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number:

10/517,893 Art Unit: 3654

Claims 20 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muller et al., U.S. Patent No. 4199117.

Regarding claim 20, Muller '117 discloses applicant's claimed invention, as shown in figures 1-4. A system for winding a web into a web roll 6 by leading the web through a winding nip (no reference number assigned) defined between said web roll and a winding drum 6, the web defining a first wrap angle (alpha) as the web passes through the nip, the wrap angle being the amount the web wraps the winding drum before entering the nip when the wrap angle is positive or negative (note, the wrap angle is relative to depending on which point of origin is measured from), and the structure of the web roll 6 being formed by adjusting the wrap angle (alpha) of the web as it passes through the nip during the course of winding the web roll to obtain a desired roll hardness distribution (i.e., a predetermined hardness is already present in every wound web roll).

Winding a fibrous web such as a paper or board web is notoriously old and well known for operating and manufacturing apparatus of all kinds, including winders. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a specific type of web into the web roll.

Regarding claims 22-23, as stated above, Muller '117 discloses changing the wrap angle, which inherently changes the roll hardness distribution of the roll by making the wrap angle smaller or bigger.

Application/Control Number:

10/517,893 Art Unit: 3654

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muller et al., U.S. Patent No. 4199117, in view of Griffin, U.S. Patent No. 4463586.

As stated above, Muller '117 discloses changing the wrap angle and regulated by moving the position of at least one guide roll (3, 4), since the web is wound between the rolls, it prevents slippage of the web, see column 1, lines 30-35.

Muller '117 discloses changing the wrap angle in a slitter machine but does not explain explicitly how the wrap angle is controlled.

Griffin discloses the concept of using a closed loop system which controls the wrap angle, see abstract.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Muller '117 with a closed loop system for controlling the wrap angle taught by Griffin, in order to accurately adjust the wrap angle.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breacker et al., U.S. Patent No. 3430880, in view of Griffin, U.S. Patent No. 4463586.

As stated above, Breacker '880 discloses changing the wrap angle and regulated by moving the position of the roller 19 and adjust the tension but does not explain explicitly how the wrap angle is controlled.

Griffin discloses the concept of using a closed loop system which controls the wrap angle, see abstract.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Breacker '880 with a closed loop system

10/517,893 Art Unit: 3654

for controlling the wrap angle taught by Griffin, in order to accurately adjust the wrap angle.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16-19 are allowed.

Claims 8-15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection(s), set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

Claims 8-10, 13-14 and 16-18 have been amended.

Claims 20-23 have been added.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as set forth above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SANG KIM whose telephone number is 571-272-6947. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo, can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/517,893 Art Unit: 3654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SK

1/8/08

Sur